

# DeepCW: using Deep Learning to find Continuous Gravitational Waves (CW)

Christoph Dreissigacker, Rahul Sharma, Reinhard Prix, Chris Messenger



Max-Planck-Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert-Einstein-Institut) Hannover, Germany

# Continuous Gravitational Waves from Spinning Neutron Stars (NSs)



- Figure 1: Spinning non-axisymmetric NSs emit CWs of frequency f = twice the NS rotation rate.
- $\blacktriangleright$  a spinning neutron star (distance d, rotation rate  $\nu$ , eccentricity $\epsilon$ , moment of inertia  $I_{zz}$ ) emits continuous gravitational waves (CWs).
- ▶ expected to be located in supernova remnants
- $\blacktriangleright$  the strain amplitude  $h_0$  on Earth would be

$$h_0 = 4 \times 10^{-25} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{10^{-6}}\right) \left(\frac{I_{zz}}{10^{45} \,\mathrm{g \, cm^2}}\right) \left(\frac{\nu}{100 \,\mathrm{Hz}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{100 \,\mathrm{pc}}{d}\right) \,,$$

# **Deep Learning**

- ► Machine learning: algorithms learning from examples
- ▶ artificial neural network with many layers
- ► convolutional neural networks (CNN): not every neuron is connected with every neuron in the next layer but they form local filters
- ▶ has been tested for searches for signals from merging black holes [1]

#### hidden layer 1 hidden layer 2 hidden layer 3



# Our Approach: use CNN to find CWs

### Input layer:

- $\blacktriangleright$  fourier transformed time series of the normalized strain h(f)
- **training set**: signals are generated with random parameters and
- ▶ is placed in generated Gaussian noise (see Fig. 2 left)

### **Output layer:**

- ▶ probability that the input contains a signal or not
- ▶ can be interpreted as detection statistic to calculate a threshold for given p-value

$$(10^{\circ})$$
  $(10^{\circ})$   $g$   $c$   $(10^{\circ})$   $(100^{\circ})$   $HZ^{\prime}$   $(u^{\prime})$ 

-0.5 0 0.5

Image: Sector Strength Str

### **Detector Data**

- ► Detector data is a time series of strain  $h(t) = h_{\text{noise}}(t) + h_{\text{signal}}(t)$
- ► CWs have nearly constant frequency
  - Image: Analysis in Fourier domain
- ▶ Doppler shifts broaden the signal (see Fig.2 right)
- ▶ With unkown parameters we have to search a frequency band (see Fig.2 left)



Figure 2: Signals in frequency domain: Left: signal (red) and signal + noise (black), Right: signal template

▶ we define signal strength as ratio of the power spectral density (PSD) and the strain amplitude  $h_0$  (giving the depth  $\mathcal{D}$ ):

 $\mathcal{D} = \frac{\sqrt{\text{PSD}}}{2}$ 

# Matched Filtering

### Template search:

 $\blacktriangleright$  create templates for many different signal parameters (e.g. f, f, sky-position).

- ► calculate detection (threshold crossing) probability
- ▶ compare to matched filtering performance

### Training Example: 100 Hz signals



- ▶ Here matched filtering achieves a detection probability of 90% at a fixed false alarm rate of 1% and a fixed signal strength  $\frac{\sqrt{\text{PSD}}}{h_0} = 10.4 \sqrt{\text{Hz}^{-1}}$ .
- $\blacktriangleright$  The network trained at a frequency of 100 Hz reaches about 88%.

### Generalisation in signal strength and frequency

▶ Testing the network at different signal parameters than trained (given by red line and legend)





- ▶ compare every template against the detector data detection statistic
- ▶ Does the statistic cross a certain threshold corresponding to a fixed p-value?

# **Properties of matched filtering:**

- ▶ optimal method if a template matches a signal perfectly
- **but** in general loss of sensitivity due to mismatch
- ▶ in principle: just use as many templates as possible.
- **but** computational cost limits the number of templates and hence the sensitivity
- distributed computing project Einstein@Home (https://einsteinathome.org/)

# References

- [1] Gabbard, Hunter, et al. "Matching matched filtering with deep networks for gravitational-wave astronomy." Physical Review Letters 120.14 (2018): 141103.
- [2] George, D. and Huerta, E. "Deep neural networks to enable real-time multimessenger astrophysics" Physical Review D, APS, 2018, 97, 044039

- ▶ different signal strength: similar performance to matched filtering
- ▶ maintains sensitivity over  $\geq 100 \text{ Hz}$ 
  - r only a couple of networks needed to cover wide frequency range

# **Future Work**

- ▶ current approach does not contain parameter estimation Is change output, locating the signal in frequency
- ▶ testing the network with real noise
- ▶ proper optimization of hyper parameters
- ▶ setting up a full scale all sky search (e.g. f = [20, 1000] Hz)

# Astronomical Data Analysis Software & Systems 2018, College Park, Maryland, 11th to 15th November 2018